

#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### REGION 5

77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF:

CS-29A

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

December 29, 1994

Melvin J. Werner, Esq. The Andersen Firm First American Bank Building Sixth Floor - 415 Broad Street Kingsport, Tennessee 37662-0088

Stuart E. Hunt, Esq.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal
1301 K Street N.W.
Suite 600, East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005

Mary Adamowicz, Esq. Lydall, Inc. 1 Colonial Road Manchester, CT 06045-0151

Re: Carrico Drum Site, Washington, Indiana

Dear Mr. Werner, Mr. Hunt and Ms. Adamowicz:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Section 122(h)(1) Agreement for Recovery of Past Costs for the above-referenced site. The agreement was executed by the Regional Administrator of U.S. EPA Region 5 on December 20, 1994. U.S. EPA will issue written notice to you upon completion of the required 30-day public comment period.

If you have any questions, comments or concerns regarding this correspondence, please contact me at (312) 886-7951 or the above-referenced return address.

Sincerely,

Mike Anástasio

Assistant Regional Counsel

Enclosure

bcc: Mila Bensing (HSRM-5J)
 Removal Site File c/o Jan Pfundheller (HM-7J)

SECTION 122(h)(1) AGREEMENT FOR RECOVERY OF PAST COSTS

IN THE MATTER OF:

Carrico Drum Site

Washington, IN

U.S. E

CERCLA

CERCLA

SETTLING PARTIES:

Hoover Precision Products, Inc.,

Hoover Group, Inc.,

Hoover Universal, Inc.,

Johnson Controls, Inc., and
Lydall, Inc.

AGREEMENT FOR PAYMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

U.S. EPA Region 5 CERCLA Docket No.

V-W- '95-C-278

PROCEEDING UNDER SECTION 122(h)(1) OF CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h)(1)

This Agreement is made and entered into by the United
States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and Hoover
Precision Products, Inc., Hoover Group, Inc., Hoover Universal,
Inc., Johnson Controls, Inc., and Lydall, Inc. (the "Settling
Parties"). This Agreement concerns the Carrico Drum site (the
"Site") located in Washington, Indiana. The purpose of this
Agreement is for EPA to recover "Past Response Costs" as defined
in Paragraph 2 and to resolve the liability of the Settling
Parties for such costs.

EPA is authorized to enter into this Agreement pursuant to the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response,

Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"),

42 U.S.C. § 9622(h)(1), which authority has been delegated to the Regional Administrators of the EPA by EPA Delegation No. 14-14-D Oct. 31, 1989).

WHEREAS, EPA alleges that hazardous substances as defined by Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), were or are present at the Site and that such hazardous substances have been

or are threatened to be released into the environment from the Site;

WHEREAS, EPA alleges that the Site is a "facility" as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9);

WHEREAS, EPA alleges that such releases or threatened releases required response action to be undertaken at the Site pursuant to Section 104 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604;

WHEREAS, EPA alleges that in performing this response action, response costs have been incurred at or in connection with the Site pursuant to Section 104 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604;

WHEREAS, EPA alleges that the Settling Parties are responsible parties pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), and are liable for a portion of the response costs incurred at or in connection with the Site, and the Settling Parties deny these allegations;

WHEREAS, the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 5, has determined that the total response costs incurred by the United States to date at or in connection with the Site do not exceed \$500,000, excluding interest, and that, based upon information currently available to EPA, total response costs of the United States at or in connection with the Site are not anticipated to exceed \$500,000, excluding interest, in the future; and

WHEREAS, EPA and the Settling Parties desire to settle certain claims arising from the Settling Parties' alleged involvement with the Site without litigation and without the

admission or adjudication of any issue of fact or law;

NOW, THEREFORE, EPA and the Settling Parties, in consideration of the promises herein, and intending to be legally bound hereby, agree as follows:

- 1. This Agreement shall be binding upon EPA and shall be binding upon the Settling Parties and their successors and assigns. Each signatory to this Agreement represents that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to bind legally the party represented by him or her. The Settling Parties agree to undertake all actions required by this Agreement. The Settling Parties consent to and will not contest EPA's authority to enter into this Agreement or to implement or enforce its terms.
- 2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this Agreement, the Settling Parties shall pay to the Hazardous Substance Superfund \$ 73,333.33 in reimbursement of EPA's claim for "Past Response Costs." "Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, that EPA and has incurred and paid at or in connection with the Site through the effective date of this Agreement, including any costs reimbursed to the State of Indiana ("the State") or to a federal agency or department from the Hazardous Substance Superfund established under subchapter A of chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U.S. Code (the "Hazardous Substance Superfund"), plus accrued "Interest" on all such costs through such date, for removal activities conducted at the Site as described in the On-Scene

Coordinator's Report dated September, 1992 (contained in Attachment A to this Agreement), costs for said activities are set forth in the Itemized Cost Summary contained in Attachment B to this Agreement. "Interest," in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund, compounded on October 1 of each year.

3. Each payment shall be made by certified or cashier's check made payable to "EPA-Hazardous Substance Superfund." Each check shall be designated as "Response Costs - Carrico Drum Site" and shall reference the names and addresses of the Settling Parties, the EPA CERCLA Number "PF" and the Docket Number of this Agreement and shall be sent by the Settling Parties to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 70753 Chicago, Illinois 60673

The Settling Parties shall simultaneously transmit a copy of the check to the Director, Waste Management Division, U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604-3590 and to Mike Anastasio, Assistant Regional Counsel, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard (CS-29A), Chicago, Illinois, 60604-3590.

4. In the event that any payment required by Paragraph 2 is not made when due, "Interest," as defined in Paragraph 2, shall continue to accrue on the unpaid balance through the date of payment. If any amounts due to EPA under Paragraph 2 are not paid by the required date, the Settling Parties shall pay to EPA,

as a stipulated penalty, in addition to the Interest required by this Paragraph, \$ 250.00 per violation per day that such payment is late. Stipulated penalties are due and payable within 30 days of the Settling Parties' receipt from EPA of a demand for payment of the penalties. All payments to EPA under this Paragraph shall be made in accordance with Paragraph 3. Penalties shall accrue as provided above regardless of whether EPA has notified the Settling Parties of the violation or made a demand for payment, but need only be paid upon demand. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the day after performance is due, or the day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the final day of correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Agreement.

- 5. In addition to the Interest and Stipulated Penalty payments required by Paragraph 4 and any other remedies or sanctions available to EPA by virtue of the Settling Parties' failure to comply with the requirements of this Agreement, any Settling Party who fails or refuses to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement shall be subject to enforcement action pursuant to Section 122(h)(3) of CERCLA. If the United States, including EPA, must bring an action to enforce this Agreement, the Settling Parties shall reimburse the United States for all costs of such action, including but not limited to costs of attorney time.
  - 6. The obligations of the Settling Parties to pay amounts

owed to EPA under this Agreement are joint and several. In the event of the failure of any one or more Settling Parties to make the payments required under this Agreement, the remaining Settling Parties shall be responsible for such payments.

- 7. Subject to Paragraph 8 [Reservations of Rights], upon payment of all amounts required by Paragraphs 2 [Past Response Costs] and 4 [Interest and Stipulated Penalties for Late Payment], the Settling Parties shall have resolved any and all civil liability to the United States under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for reimbursement of "Past Response Costs" as defined in Paragraph 2. This resolution of liability is conditioned upon the complete and satisfactory performance by the Settling Parties of their obligations under this Agreement. This resolution of liability extends only to the Settling Parties and their successors and assigns, and does not extend to any other person.
- 8. Except as provided in Paragraph 7, nothing contained herein shall in any way limit or restrict the response or enforcement authority of the United States to initiate appropriate action, either judicial or administrative, under Sections 104, 106, and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604, 9606, 9607, or any other provision of law, against the Settling Parties or against any other person or entity not a party to this Agreement. The resolution of liability set forth in Paragraph 7 does not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified therein. The United States reserves, and this

Agreement is without prejudice to, all rights against the Settling Parties with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to the following:

- a) liability for failure by the Settling Parties to meet any requirement of this Agreement;
- b) liability for costs that have been or may be incurred at or in connection with the Site by the United States that are not within the definitions in Paragraph 2 of "Past Response Costs;"
- c) liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments;
- d) liability for injunctive relief or administrative order enforcement under Section 106 of CERCLA;
- e) liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of a hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant outside of the Site;
- f) liability arising from the future disposal, release or threat of release of a hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant at the Site;
  - g) criminal liability; and
- h) liability for past, present or future violations of federal or state law other than liability resolved by this Agreement.
- 9. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to be nor shall it be construed as a release, covenant not to sue, or compromise of

any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the United States may have against any person, firm, corporation or other entity not a signatory to this Agreement.

- Except for actions to enforce this Agreement, the Settling Parties agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States, or its contractors or employees, with respect to "Past Response Costs" or this Agreement, including, but not limited to, any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund through Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112, or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9611, 9612, or 9613, or any other provision of law, any claim against any department, agency or instrumentality of the federal government pursuant to Sections 107 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 or 9613, related to "Past Response Costs", or any claims arising out of response activities at the Site as described in the On-Scene Coordinator's Report dated September, 1992 (contained in Attachment A to this Agreement), costs for said activities are set forth in the Itemized Cost Summary contained in Attachment B to this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. 300.700(d).
- 11. Except as set forth in paragraph 7 of this Agreement [Covenant Not to Sue], nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action

to, any person not a party to this Agreement. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Agreement may have under applicable law. The United States and the Settling Parties each reserve any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto.

With respect to claims for contribution against the Settling Parties, the Settling Parties are entitled, as of the effective date of this Agreement, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Sections 113(f)(2) and 122(h)(4) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(f)(2) and 9622(h)(4), for "Past Response Costs" as defined in Paragraph 2. Such protection is conditioned upon the Settling Parties' compliance with the requirements of this Agreement. The Settling Parties agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters related to this Agreement they will notify EPA in writing no later than 60 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim. The Settling Parties also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters related to this Agreement they will notify EPA in writing within 10 days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, Settling Parties shall notify EPA within 10 days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within 10 days of

receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial for matters related to this Agreement.

- 13. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by EPA or the United States on behalf of EPA for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Parties shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant action; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the resolution of liability included in Paragraph 7.
- 14. Each Settling Party certifies, individually, that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, it has conducted a thorough, comprehensive, good faith search for documents, and has fully and accurately disclosed to EPA all information currently in its possession, or in the possession of its officers, directors, employees, contractors or agents (other than its attorneys), which relates in any way to the ownership or operation of the Site or to the generation, treatment, transportation, storage or disposal of a hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant at or in connection with the Site. Each Settling Party further certifies, individually, that it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of

any records, documents, or other information relating to its potential liability regarding the Site since notification of potential liability or the filing of suit against the Settling Party regarding the Site and that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6927. Provision of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations to the United States may subject a Settling Party to criminal penalties under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

- 15. This Agreement shall be subject to a thirty-day public comment period pursuant to Section 122(i) of CERCLA. In accordance with Section 122(i)(3) of CERCLA, EPA may modify or withdraw its consent to this Agreement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that this Agreement is inappropriate, improper or inadequate.
- 16. The effective date of this Agreement shall be the date upon which EPA issues written notice that the public comment period pursuant to Paragraph 15 has closed and that comments received, if any, do not require modification of or EPA withdrawal from this Agreement.

Agreed this /7 th day of NOVEMBER_, 1994.
Settling Party Name Housen Parcision Prosuers, Du
Name (print) Robania R. BATh
Signature Roll Many
Title/Relation to Settling Party RESIDENT

Agreed this // day of November, 1994.
Settling Party Name Houses GROUP INC.
Name (print) RAYMOND JUSAK
Signature Communication
Title/Relation to Settling Party VP ENV. & FAC.

Agreed this 28th day of November , 1994.
Settling Party Name Hoover Universal, Inc.
Name (print) Stephen A. Roell
Signature Style A Type

Title/Relation to Settling Party Chief Financial Officer, Johnson Controls, Inc.

Agreed this <u>28th</u> day of <u>November</u> ,	1994.	
Settling Party Name <u>Johnson Controls</u> , Inc.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Name (print) Stephen A. Roell		
Signature Hyd a Tylu		

Title/Relation to Settling PartyChief Financial Officer, Johnson Controls, Inc.

Agreed this 21st day of November, 1994

Settling Party Name: Lydall, Inc. aka Lydall Eastern, Inc.

Superior Ball Division

Name (Print):

Mary Adamowicz

Signature

Title/Relation to Settling Party: Counsel and Secretary

IT IS SO ORDERED AND AGREED

BY:

Valdas V. Adamkus

Regional Administrator

United States

Environmental Protection Agency

Region 5

12/20/94
DATE:\_\_\_\_

#### ATTACHMENT A

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION V

DATE: SEP 28 1992

SUBJECT: ON-SCENE COORDINATOR'S REPORT - Removal Action at the Carrico Drum Site, Bent and Poplar Streets, Washington, Daviess County, Indiana, Site ID # PF

FROM: Robert J. Bowden, Chief Emergency and Enforcement Response Branch, HSE-5J

TO: Debbie Dietrich, Director Emergency Response Division, OS-210

THRU: Jodi L. Traub, Acting Associate Division Director Office of Superfund, HS-6J

Attached please find the On-Scene Coordinator's Report for the removal action conducted at the Carrico Drums site located in Washington, Daviess County, Indiana. The report follows the format outlined in the National Contingency Plan (NCP), Section 300.165. This removal began on September 18, 1991 and was completed on January 11, 1992. The OSC for the removal action was Maureen O'Mara.

The site posed an immediate threat to human health and the environment. The action was taken to mitigate threats posed by low flash-point materials, such as waste paints and lacquers, and asbestos. Site assessment activities documented the presence of hundreds of open, leaking, and unsecured containers, tanks, and contaminated soil. Site access was unrestricted.

Costs under the control of the On-Scene Coordinator totaled \$339,525.62 of which \$285,632.51 were for the Emergency Response Cleanup Services (ERCS) contractor.

Any indication in this OSC Report of specific costs incurred at the site is only an approximation, subject to audit and final definitization by the U.S. EPA. The OSC Report is not a final reconciliation of the costs associated with a particular site.

Portions of the OSC Report appendices may contain confidential business or enforcement-sensitive information and must be reviewed by the Office of Regional Counsel prior to release to the public.

This site is not on the National Priorities List.

#### Attachment

Indiana Department of Environmental Management, w/OSC cc:

Report

T. Johnson, U.S. EPA, OERR, OS-210, w/OSC Report

S. Kaiser, 5CS-TUB-3, w/OSC Report bcc:

T. Lesser, 5PA-14, w/OSC Report

O. Warnsley, CRU, 5HS-TUB-7, w/OSC Report

Regina Baker, 5RA, w/OSC Report

R. Mayhugh, 5HS-TUB-6, w/OSC Report (20 copies for RRT distribution

B. Ramsey, Secretary, NRT, OS-120

M. O'Mara, w/OSC Report

R. Bowden, w/OSC Report

M. O'Mara, Acting Chief, ESS, W/OSC Report

R. Powers/R. Buckley (RS1), w/OSC Report
D. Bruce (RS2), w/OSC Report

T. Geishecker (RS3), w/OSC Report

EERB Site File, 5HS-12, w/OSC Report (5)

ON-SCENE COORDINATOR'S REPORT
CERCLA REMOVAL ACTION
CARRICO DRUMS SITE
WASHINGTON, INDIANA
SITE ID # PF
DELIVERY ORDER NO. 7640-05-199
Removal Dates: 9/18/91 - 1/11/92

Emergency and Enforcement Response Branch
Office of Superfund
Waste Management Division
Region V
United States Environmental Protection Agency

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site/Location: Removal Dates:

Washington, Daviess County, Indiana September 18, 1991 - January 11, 1992

#### INCIDENT DESCRIPTION:

This site was the location of an open dump and burn site where waste oils and toxic paint wastes containing heavy metals and solvents were illegally stored.

The removal action was taken to mitigate the threats to public health posed by the presence of the following substances at the site: flammable solids and liquids (waste paints, solvents, and lacquers); oils; asbestos insulation lining two large storage tanks; and other hazardous wastes. The materials, stored in 55-gallon drums and tanks, and in oil-stained soil, posed threats through potential exposure by nearby populations, animals, or food chains. Hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in drums, barrels, tanks, and other storage containers posed a threat of release. The potential existed for migration of contaminants by airborne dust or from run-off.

#### ACTIONS TAKEN:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) began a three-phase removal action at the site on September 18, 1991. The following emergency removal activities were performed: during Phase I operations, the Emergency Response Cleanup Services (ERCS) crew began to inventory, sample, overpack, and stage drums and other containers. On-site storage tanks were also inventoried and sampled. The Technical Assistance Team (TAT) performed compatibility testing on all drum and tank These substances were then placed into appropriate waste streams. Bulk composite samples of each stream were sent to a laboratory for analysis and later transported to disposal facilities for disposal acceptance. During Phase II operations, the ERCS contractor assisted with the bulking of wastes (baseneutral and base-oxidizing liquids), and loaded and shipped drummed and bulk wastes to several disposal facilities, including Ecolotec, Inc., and Safety-Kleen. The disposal contractor for the waste oils and paints, Safety-Kleen, assisted with loading, shipping, and disposal of the wastes to its New Castle, Kentucky, fuels blending facility. During Phase III operations, work crews removed and shipped emptied, crushed drums; contaminated soil; and other debris to Chemical Waste Management/Adams Center facility. Subcontractors also removed non-hazardous oil and asbestos tank insulation to Safety-Kleen and Pulaski Landfill.

Approximately 13,802 gallons of waste paint materials (flammable liquids); 6,023 gallons of combustible liquids; 100 gallons of waste oxidizing liquids; and 2,655 gallons of waste oil (non-hazardous) were transported off-site for treatment.

Approximately 50 cubic yards (cys) of hazardous waste solids (soil and debris) and 21 cys of asbestos were shipped off-site for disposal. All actions taken were consistent with the National Contingency Plan.

The removal was completed on January 11, 1992, at an estimated cost under control of the U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator of \$339,525.62 of which \$285,632.51 was for the ERCS contractor. The On-Scene Coordinator was Maureen O'Mara.

Maureen O'Mara, On-Scene Coordinator

Emergency and Enforcement Response Branch

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Region V

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u> <u>Pa</u>	ge
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ij
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF TABLES	vi
1.0 SUMMARY OF EVENTS	1
1.1 Location/Initial Situation	7
1.2 Previous Actions, or Site History	1
1.3 Threat to Public Health and/or the Environment	_
1.3.1 Natural Resource Damage	7
1.3.1 Natural Resource Damage	7
1.5 Chronological Narrative of Response Actions Taken .	7
1.5.1 Phase I Operations	7
1.5.2 Phase II Operations	9
1.5.3 Phase III Operations	9
1.5.4 Waste Disposal Summary	10
1.6 Community Relations	12
1.7 Cost Summary	12
	12
2.0 EFFECTIVENESS OF REMOVAL ACTIONS	14
2.1 The Potentially Responsible Party	14
2.2 State and Local Agencies	14
2.2.1 State Agencies	14
2.2.2 Local Agencies	14
2.3 Federal Agencies and Special Teams	14
2.4 Contractors, Private Groups, and Volunteers	14
2.4.1 Emergency Response Contractor Services (ERCS)	15
2.4.2 Technical Assistance Team (TAT)	15
3.0 <u>DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED</u>	15
4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	16

#### LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>'age</u>
1	SITE LOCATION MAP	2
2	SITE SKETCH (EAST CARRICO PROPERTY)	3
3	SITE SKETCH (NEAR WEST CARRICO PROPERTY)	4
4	SITE SKETCH (WEST CARRICO PROPERTY)	5
	LIST OF TABLES	
<u>Table</u>	<u>F</u>	age
1	STANDARD DISPOSAL TABLE	8

# Emergency and Enforcement Response Branch Office of Superfund, U.S. EPA, Region V

### OSC REPORT STANDARD APPENDICES LIST \*

Site	Name:	Carrico	Drums	Site
		~~~~~~		

Site ID#: PF Delivery Order #: 7460-05-199

1	. 01	PERATIONAL FILES	<u>ID</u>
	_	Action Memos/Additional Funding	
		Requests/Time Exemptions	1-A
	-	POLREPS	1-E
	-	Site Entry/Exit Log	1-0
	-		1-0
	-	Site Safety Plan	1-E
	-	- A :	
	_		1-F
	_	± 4 4 − ₹	1-G
	_		1-H
	_		1-I
	-		1-J 1-K
_	ni	maial Milan	\
2.	Fina	ncial Files	ID#
	_	Delivery Orders/Procurement Requests	
		Modifications to Contract (ERCS)	2-A
	-	Technical Directive Documents/Modifications (TAT)	2-B
	-	Daily Cost Reporting U.S. EPA Form 1900-55's	2-B
	_		2-D
	_		
	_	Superfund Itemized Cost Summary	2-E
			2-F

(Continued)

#### OSC REPORT STANDARD APPENDICES LIST (Cont.)

#### 3. Technical Files

-	TAT Site Assessment/Emergency Action Plans/Other	
	Reports	
	- TAT Letter Report	3-A
-	Analytical Results/QA/QC	3-B
-	Manifests	3-C
-	Disposal Information	3-D
-	Drum Logs/VAT Logs	3-E
-	Compatibility Results	3-F
-	Chains of Custody	3-G
-	Waste Profile Sheets	3-H

- \* Portions of these OSC Report Appendices may contain confidential business information or enforcement-sensitive information and must be reviewed by the Office of Regional Counsel prior to release to the public.
- \* Note that certain files for this site are maintained elsewhere by EERB; these appendices are those files maintained by the OSC during the removal action.

#### 1.0 SUMMARY OF EVENTS

#### 1.1 Location/Initial Situation

The Carrico Drums Site is located along the east and west sides of Bent Avenue near Poplar Street in unincorporated Washington, Daviess County, Indiana (see Figure 1 for site location), site is bordered on the east by Hawkins Cemetery and Wright Avenue, on the south by McCormick Avenue, on the west by Oak Grove Cemetery, and on the north by an alley and a ConRail railroad track. The site encompasses approximately 20 acres and lies in a primarily residential neighborhood (see site sketches, Figures 2, 3, and 4). The site owner, Elmer Carrico, of Washington, Indiana, owns other property in the vicinity of the site including an area at the northeast corner of Wright and McCormick Avenues, a house along McCormick Avenue, and property located south of town. The surrounding population receives drinking water from the City of Washington Municipal Water Works. No perimeter fencing exists around the site to prevent unauthorized access.

Numerous waste materials have been dumped on-site over a 30- to 40-year period, including abandoned solid waste (garbage) in open pits; construction debris, some of which had been burned; scrap metal; old tires; appliances; abandoned vehicles; and approximately 585 drums in various stages of deterioration, many of which were rusted and opened. The majority of the drums contained toxic materials, such as waste paints and paint solvents; and waste oils, including cutting, motor, and hydraulic oils. In addition, approximately 53 bulk storage tanks, a fuel oil tanker truck, and various gas cylinders were found on-site. Oil from the tanker and several nearby aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) had seeped out and pooled on the ground, staining the soil and surrounding vegetation. Two storage tanks were also documented as being lined with asbestos insulation.

#### 1.2 Previous Actions, or Site History

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) conducted a site investigation to assess the chemical hazards at the site and to determine the threat to the public health and the environment.

IDEM conducted sampling at the site on July 29, 1991. Insulation from two storage tanks and tape wrap from duct work were sampled. Based on the analytical results, IDEM determined that the site was hazardous and posed a threat to human health and the environment and requested further assistance from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). Among the analytical results were concentrations of greater than 1% in the chrysotile asbestos of the two tank insulation samples.

IDEM had previously taken enforcement action against the property owner regarding the illegal open burning and the unpermitted open dumping of solid waste. Several citations had also been issued by the Daviess County Health Department concerning these matters since the early 1980s. IDEM also traced possible sources of the waste paints to Evans Railcar Company and Washington Railcar, Inc. (both companies of Washington, Indiana, and no longer in operation), and also traced a possible source of the cutting oils to Hoover Group Ball and Roller Division, of Washington, Indiana.

At the request of U.S EPA, the Technical Assistance Team (TAT) met with the U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) and state and local officials and conducted a site assessment of the site on August 12, 1991. The TAT collected six samples for analysis to determine potential threats at the site; conducted air monitoring; and documented the areas of stored drums, tanks, and stained soil. During the site assessment, three distinct properties of waste storage were identified: the East Property, the Near West Property, and the West Property. Within these properties, three areas of drum storage were marked: Area 1 (Near West Property), Area 2 (East Property), and Area 3 (northeast corner of the East Property). Sample results confirmed the presence of hazardous substances at the Carrico Drum site.

#### 1.3 Threat to Public Health and/or the Environment

The site posed an imminent and substantial threat to human health and the environment based upon the following criteria listed in Paragraph (b)(2) of Part 300.415 of the National Contingency Plan (NCP):

Actual or potential exposure to hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants by nearby populations, animals, or food chains: Analytical results from four drum samples and two soil samples indicated the presence of flammable materials and other hazardous substances at the Carrico Drums Site. Drums, tanks, and other containers were opened and had leaked. Access to the site was unrestricted creating a significant threat of exposure to nearby residents.

Hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in drums, barrels, vats, and other bulk storage containers that posed a threat of release: A number of drums and tanks were documented as leaking, open, deteriorating, and rusting. The drums, tanks, and other containers posed a threat of release.

High levels of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in soils largely at or near the surface, that may migrate: Several areas of the ground were stained with oil and dried paint as result of container leakage. The waste paints were contaminated with high levels of metals and volatile organic compounds, and were flammable. Contaminants could potentially

migrate by surface run-off into nearby drainage areas and by airborne dust migration.

Weather conditions that may cause hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants to migrate or be released: The leaking drums, storage tanks, and other containers, as well as contaminated soil from around these containers, were under constant exposure to the elements. Wind, rain, and direct ultraviolet sunlight had caused excessive degradation of the onsite drums and tanks, which could have caused further migration of contaminants if additional hazardous materials had leaked.

Threat of fire or explosion: The site housed several drums and tanks of flammable materials, and three drum samples exhibited characteristics of flammability. The site has been the scene of several fires in the past and reports indicated that these fires were set intentionally to burn refuse. The potential existed for trespassers to come into contact with hazardous substances stored in drums and tanks. Also, if these substances caught on fire, migration of contaminants could have posed an additional threat to the public and/or environment.

#### 1.3.1 Natural Resource Damage

No formal Natural Resource Damage Study was conducted.

#### 1.4 Attempts to Obtain a Response by PRP

During the initial site investigation, U.S. EPA identified the site owner, Elmer Carrico, as the Potentially Responsible Party (PRP). The U.S. EPA OSC attempted to obtain the PRP's response to requests for site clean-up. A verbal notification was issued to Elmer and Grace Carrico to initiate a site removal action on September 17, 1991, stating that U.S. EPA would start cleanup actions on September 18, 1991 if no action was initiated. Both Elmer and Grace Carrico declined to conduct the removal claiming that they were financially unable to perform the cleanup. The final notice letter was sent September 24, 1991.

On October 21, 1991, Information Request letters under Section 104(e) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) were issued to Mr. and Mrs. Elmer Carrico, Hoover Group Ball, and Evans Railcar. Both the Hoover Group and Evans Railcar were suspected of disposing of waste at the site. Responses to the 104(e) letters were inconclusive and no Administrative Orders were issued.

#### 1.5 Chronological Narrative of Response Actions Taken

On September 18, 1991, the U.S. EPA initiated a removal action. The OSC obtained verbal authorization to expend up to \$50,000 to stabilize and secure the site. An Action Memo was authorized on

December 11, 1991, confirming the initial \$50,000 and requesting an additional \$550,000 for a total site ceiling of \$600,000.00 for a removal action at the Carrico Drums site.

#### 1.5.1 Phase I Operations

Phase I of the U.S. EPA removal action began on September 18, 1991, and was completed on September 25, 1991. On September 18, the work force, consisting of personnel from U.S. EPA, TAT, and the Emergency Response Cleanup Services (ERCS) contractor, mobilized to the site and began removal actions. A truckload of empty overpack drums was unloaded, and site security was established. On September 19, the work crew sampled, staged, and overpacked drums and cleared an area to stage the overpacked drums at the Carrico East Property. On September 20, drum sampling, overpacking, and staging continued, and 30 additional drums of waste paints, previously undiscovered, were identified on the Carrico West Property near Poplar Avenue.

On September 21, the crew continued drum sampling and staging overpacked drums at the drum staging area and cleared an area on the Near West Property that was suspected of having buried drums, using a bulldozer. An additional truckload of 140 overpack drums was unloaded. On September 22, work crews continued to sample, overpack, and stage drums, overpacking 112 drums during the day. A subcontracted landscaper cleared brush from along site access roads.

On September 23, work shifted to the northeast corner of the Carrico East Property (Area 3), where work crews sampled, overpacked, and staged drums. During the day, drums were sampled at several areas, including 70 drums at the East Property (Area 2) and 106 drums at Area 3. ERCS unloaded 115 empty overpacks for additional overpacking operations. On September 24, the crew overpacked approximately 70 drums at Area 2 and Area 3. After locating additional drums at another PRP property located at the northeast corner of Wright and McCormick Avenues, ERCS sampled and later overpacked 25 drums. Several stray drums, hidden by brush and not originally staged with the other drums, were also sampled and overpacked.

On September 25, the ERCS crew marked, sampled, overpacked, and staged three additional drums located on PRP property, thus completing drum sampling and overpacking. After staging all drums inside the drum staging area, the crew placed fencing around the area for marking. Following drum operations, the TAT and ERCS inspected, inventoried, and sampled on-site storage tanks. After completion of its work assignments, the ERCS crew and its equipment were demobilized on this date.

After the crew sampled drums, soil, and debris, the TAT performed hazard categorization on all samples to determine waste streams for disposal and to define waste characterization. Samples of compatible waste streams were grouped and composited, and composite samples were packed and shipped to Hayden Environmental Laboratories (Hayden) in Miamisburg, Ohio for analysis (see Appendix 3B - Analytical Results). Based on the sample results received from Hayden, additional composite profile samples were sent to disposal facilities for acceptance.

The waste composite groups were broken down into 8 groups and listed as follows:

Group A - Base/Neutral Liquids

Group B - Base Oxidizing Liquids

Group C - Oils

Group D - Flammable Paint Solids

Group E - Flammable Paint Liquids

Group F - Chlorinated Oils (later combined with

Group C)

Group G - PPE and Debris (later combined with

Group H)

Group H - Soil (later combined with Group G)

#### 1.5.2 Phase II Operations

Phase II operations were conducted from December 11 to 16, 1991. On December 11, personnel from U.S. EPA, the TAT, and the ERCS remobilized to the site to resume removal actions. ERCS personnel segregated and bulked drummed wastes as instructed by the TAT disposal groupings, starting with consolidation of the base/neutral (B/N) liquids. ERCS assisted with the loading of 151 drums of flammable liquids and solids, which were later sent for disposal. On December 12, work crews consolidated waste streams, placing used samples into drums, consolidating B/N liquids, and staging drums containing soil and debris. A total of 140 drums of waste paint were shipped off for disposal.

On December 13, crews continued to stage oil, B/N liquids, soil/debris drums, and completed the consolidation of the base-oxidizing liquids. Safety-Kleen pumped waste oil into a vacuum truck and loaded 82 drums of waste paint and 40 drums of waste combustible liquids onto trucks for transport to Safety-Kleen's disposal facility in New Castle, Kentucky. A total of 27 drums of waste combustible liquid and 2 drums of base-oxidizing liquid were shipped to Ecolotec, Inc., in Dayton, Ohio for treatment and disposal. On December 16, approximately 2,131 gallons of waste oil was pumped from on-site storage tanks and sent to Safety-Kleen's facility in New Castle, Kentucky.

#### 1.5.3 Phase III Operations

phase III operations were conducted from January 8 to 11, 1992. On January 8, 1992, personnel from U.S. EPA, ERCS, and the TAT remobilized to the site. The ERCS crew crushed empty drums and loaded them into a 30-cubic yard (cy) roll-off box. excavated oil-contaminated soil from around the ASTs and tanker truck to a depth of 1 to 2 feet. After an asbestos abatement contractor had inspected the asbestos tank insulation. ERCS moved debris away from asbestos tanks. On January 9, Safety-Kleen pumped approximately 524 gallons of oil from tanks into a tanker truck and transported it to Safety-Kleen's New Castle, Kentucky, facility. Contaminated debris, including cut-up and crushed drum parts, personal protective equipment (PPE), and contaminated soil, were placed into a 30-cy roll-off box and sent to the Chemical Waste Management's (CWM) - Adams Center Landfill facility in Fort Wayne, Indiana, for disposal. Asbestos abatement of tank insulation also began on this date and was completed on January 10.

On January 10, the ERCS crew was demobilized, after completing soil excavation and loading an additional 20-cy roll-off box with the remaining oil- and paint-contaminated soil, empty drums, used PPE, and other debris. The roll-off was then shipped to CWM - Fort Wayne, Indiana. Petrolane Gas collected small propane cylinders for off-site disposal.

On January 12, the asbestos containment around the ASTs was removed and 21 cubic yards of asbestos material was sent to Pulaski Landfill's Burnside, Kentucky, facility after asbestos clearance samples had passed testing requirements. The OSC and the TAT then demobilized from the site.

Drummed soil and debris waste materials were sent to Ecolotec, Inc., in Dayton, Ohio; Safety-Kleen in New Castle, Kentucky; Pulaski Landfill in Burnside, Kentucky; and Chemical Waste Management in Fort Wayne, Indiana, for treatment and/or disposal.

Treatment technologies used for the project included fuels blending for the paint liquids and solids and the non-hazardous waste oil. This option was the most cost-effective and served a useful purpose as fuel for a cement kiln process. The contaminated soil, empty drums, other debris, and the asbestos were sent for landfill disposal. Incineration and other options did not prove to be cost-effective or convenient.

#### 1.5.4 Waste Disposal Summary

Table 1 presents information concerning the disposal of wastes from the Carrico Drums Site, including dates, quantities shipped, manifest numbers, and the facility accepting the wastes for disposal.

#### TABLE 1 - WASTE DISPOSAL SUMMARY Carrico Drums Site Washington, Indiana

Waste Category	Quantity Shipped	Date Shipped	Manifest Number	Disposal Method	Facility/ Location
RQ Waste Paint Related Material Flammable Liquid	5,079 gallons	12/11/91	INA0489949 INA0589948 INA0480352	Fuel Blending	Safety-Kleen New Castle, KY
UN 1263 (D001)	5,545 gallons	12/12/91	INA0589947 INA0626927 INA0584428	Fuel Blending	Safety-Kleen New Castle, KY
	3,178 gallons	12/13/91	INA0626929 INA0626930 INA0626931	Fuel Blending	Safety-Kleen New Castle, KY
RQ Waste Combustible Liquid, N.O.S. (Aliphatic &	2,692 gallons	12/11/91	INA0489949 INA0589948 INA0480352	Fuel Blending	Safety-Kleen New Castle, KY
Aromatic Hydrocarbons) NA1993 (D001)	765 gallons	12/12/91	INA0589947 INA0626927 INA0584428	Fuel Blending	Safety-Kleen New Castle, KY
	1,081 gallons	12/13/91	INA0626929 INA0626930 INA0626931	Fuel Blending	Safety-Kleen New Castle, KY
	1,485 gallons	12/13/91	INA0584430	Treatment	Ecolotec Dayton, OH
Waste Oil (Non-Hazardous)	2,131 gallons	12/16/91	12020	Fuel Blending	Safety-Kleen New Castle, KY
	524 gallons	1/9/92	INA0589985	Fuel Blending	Safety-Kleen New Castle, KY
RQ Waste Oxidizer, N.O.S., Oxidizer, UN1479 (D001)	100 gallons	12/13/91	INA0584430	Treatment	Ecolotec Dayton, OH
Non-Hazardous, Non-Regulated Material	30 cubic yards	1/9/92	00001	Landfill	Chemical Waste Management (CWM) Ft. Wayne, IN
(Soil and Debris)	20 cubic yards	1/10/92	00002	Landfill	CWM-Ft.Wayne, IN
RQ, Hazardous Substance, Solid, N.O.S., ORM-E, NA9188 (Asbestos)	21 cubic yards	1/11/92	92B0207000	Landfill	Pulaski Landfill Burnside, KY

#### 1.6 Community Relations

No formal Community Relations Plan was prepared for the Carrico drum site. However, the OSC frequently informed the local residents and media of progress during the cleanup. An Administrative Record was created and placed in an information repository at the Washington-Carnegie Public Library in Washington, Indiana for public access.

#### 1.7 Cost Summary

Costs for the project were broken down into three categories, including ERCS costs, TAT costs, and U.S. EPA costs. Costs for ERCS were gathered from 1900-55 document forms and Invoices 1199-1, 1199-2, 1199-3, under Delivery Order No. 7460-05-199. TAT costs were generated from the Technical Directive Document (TDD) T05-9109-014 and the TAT General TDD Listing for week ending April 25, 1992. EPA costs were taken from the Incident Obligation Log and U.S. EPA time sheets and expense reports.

These costs are estimated, subject to audit and final definitization by the U.S. EPA. The OSC Report is not intended to be a final reconciliation of all costs associated with a particular site.

#### SUMMARY OF TOTAL ESTIMATED REMOVAL COSTS Carrico Drums Site September 18, 1991 - January 11, 1992

#### EXTRAMURAL COSTS:

ERCS Contractor (1)	\$285,632.51
Labor/Travel/Subsistence Equipment Materials Transportation Disposal Subcontractors	\$ 49,622.58 \$ 9,974.05 \$ 1,408.11 \$ 2,629.95 \$171,943.42 \$ 50,054.40
TAT Contractor (2)	\$ 43,170.07
Subtotal	\$328,802.58
INTRAMURAL COSTS:	
U.S. EPA, OSC - Direct Costs Indirect Costs (3)	\$ 4,336.54 \$ 6,386.50
Subtotal	\$ 10,723.04
ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$339,525.62
PROJECT CEILING	\$375,000.00

- (1) Source: ERCS Contractor, International Technologies, Inc., Delivery Order # 7640-05-199, Final Invoice # 1199-3, 3/27/92, (Appendix 2-D).
- (2) Source: TDD No. T05-9109-014 and TAT General TDD Listing for week ending 4/25/92.
- (3) Source: (U.S. EPA Financial Management Branch, Itemized Cost Summary 6/18/92, Appendix 2-F) and Daily Cost Summary dated 1/14/92.

These costs are estimated, subject to audit and final definitization by U.S. EPA. The OSC Report is not meant to be a final reconciliation of the costs associated with a particular site.

#### 2.0 EFFECTIVENESS OF REMOVAL ACTIONS

The following is a discussion of the effectiveness of the U.S. EPA Removal Action, based upon support from all parties involved.

#### 2.1 The Potentially Responsible Party

No action was taken by the PRP, Elmer Carrico, to clean up the site prior to the U.S. EPA removal action. The OSC made several attempts to request the PRP to respond and take action to the threats at the site (see Section 1.4 for details).

#### 2.2 State and Local Agencies

#### 2.2.1 State Agencies

IDEM had responded to reports of environmental hazards at the site, as well as to reports from the Daviess County Health Department of numerous occurrences of unauthorized fires and open dumping at the site. IDEM conducted a site inspection in July 1991 and sampled tank insulation suspected of containing asbestos. Results later confirmed the presence of asbestos in the insulation (see subsection 1.2 for more details). IDEM was not financially able to perform the cleanup.

#### 2.2.2 Local Agencies

The Daviess County Health Department (DCHD) provided information about the site during the early stages of the U.S EPA investigation. Information provided include land plots of the Carrico property, previous county investigations, and copies of fire/police reports dealing with open burning offenses. The City of Washington, through the Office of the Mayor, and the city Police Department provided site security and additional information about the site.

#### 2.3 Federal Agencies and Special Teams

No other Federal Agencies were involved in the removal at the Carrico Drum site.

#### 2.4 Emergency Response Contractor Services (ERCS)

Under direct supervision from the U.S. EPA OSC, the ERCS contractor provided personnel and equipment to handle clean-up operations. ERCS assisted with inventorying, sampling, staging, and bulking the on-site drums and other storage containers; excavated, staged, and arranged disposal of the on-site contaminated soils; and containerized and arranged disposal of cut-up empty drums, personal protective equipment (PPE), and other debris.

#### 2.4.1 Technical Assistance Team (TAT)

The TAT provided contractor services to U.S. EPA for special assistance, including air monitoring, contractor monitoring, documentation of site activities, and conducting correspondence between U.S. EPA and its contractors and state and local agencies. The TAT also assisted with the sampling, inventory, hazard categorization, and the bulking of waste streams prior to final disposal. The TAT maintained all U.S. EPA site files and performed cost tracking assistance for the project. The TAT drafted a final report for the removal action for the OSC.

#### 3.0 DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED

Disposal of drummed material was delayed due to sampling that was done improperly initially. The initial drum inventory prepared was also inadequate so the contents of the drums was not exactly known. This caused additional costs in disposal of the drums.

#### 4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Removal of hazardous materials effectively mitigated threats to human health and the environment posed by hazardous materials at the site.

#### ATTACHMENT B

/

# CARRICO DRUMS, IN SUPERFUNDSITE # PF PREPARED 08/17/93

EPA EXPENDITURES	Cumulative Costs Through 06/30/93		
EPA PAYROLLHeadquartersRegional	\$	0.00 4,568.07	
INDIRECT COST		12,512.00	
EPA TRAVEL —— ——Headquarters ——Regional		0.00 1,300.01	
ERCS CONTRACT —— ——IT Enviroscience incorporated (68-01-7460)		292,896.86	
TAT CONTRACTEcology and Environment, Inc. (68-W0-0037)		7,986. <b>58</b>	
TES CONTRACTPlanning Research Corporation (68-W9-0006)		10,546.43	
TOTAL EPA COSTS BEFORE INTEREST Pre-Judgement Interest	\$	329,809.95 <u>0.00</u>	
TOTAL EPA COSTS FOR CARRICO DRUMS TOTAL COSTS RECOVERED TO DATE	\$	329,809.95 <u>0.00</u>	
TOTAL EPA UNRECOVERED COSTS FOR CARRICO DRUMS, IN	\$	329,809.95	

Please Note:

National Contract Laboratory program costs may be significantly understated. These costs do not include any lab costs that may have been billed to EPA prior to FY 1986, if such costs were incurred, and no estimate of the CLP Sample Management Cost (ranges from 6.1 % to 17.0 % of Analytical costs) is provided. A complete accounting of Contract Laboratory Costs normally is provided by VIAR within the documentation process.

This summary does not include Department of Justice costs. Those costs will be documented separately by the Department of Justice.